Item: Rezoning 4100, 4108 & 4110 Lawndale Drive and 4201 Lake Jeanette Road

Date: March 31, 2020

Zoning Amendment Statement by City Council on Consistency with Adopted Comprehensive Plan

The Greensboro City Council believes that its action to approve/deny the zoning amendment, for the properties located at 4100, 4108, and 4110 Lawndale Drive and 4201 Lake Jeanette Road from R-3 (Residential Single-family – 3) to CD-C-L (Conditional District - Commercial - Low) to be consistent with the adopted Connections 2025 Comprehensive Plan and considers the action taken to be reasonable and in the public interest for the following reasons: [choose from the following based on the motion]

Factors that support approval of the rezoning request:	Factors that support denial of the rezoning request:
 The request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's Reinvestment/Infill goal to promote sound investment in Greensboro's urban areas. 	 The request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan's Reinvestment/Infill goal to promote sound investment in Greensboro's urban areas.
2. The request is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan's Economic Development goal to promote a healthy, diversified economy with a strong tax base and opportunities for employment, entrepreneurship and for-profit and non-profit economic development for all segments of the community.	2. The request is inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan's Economic Development goal to promote a healthy, diversified economy with a strong tax base and opportunities for employment, entrepreneurship and for-profit and non-profit economic development for all segments of the community.
 The requested CD-C-L allows limited commercial, office, and service uses at the intersection of two significant roadways, while including provisions to limit impacts on existing residential uses. Other factors raised at the public 	3. If approved, the requested CD-C-L would allow limited commercial, office, and service uses at the intersection of two significant roadways. However, the rezoning, as proposed, would not limit negative impacts on existing residential uses.
4. Other factors faised at the public hearing, if applicable (describe)	
	4. Other factors raised at the public hearing, if applicable (describe)